CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA POLICE OFFICERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

> 4205 Hollywood Boulevard, Suite 4 Hollywood, Florida 33021

Telephone: (954) 967- 4395 Fax: (954) 967- 4387 Toll Free: (866) 738- 4776

July 9, 2018

Sent Via FedEx

| Dr. Wazir Ishmael, City Manager | Traci L. Callari District 3 |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2600 Hollywood Boulevard, Room 419 | 2600 Hollywood Boulevard |
| Hollywood, Florida 33022 | Hollywood, Florida 33022 |
| Mayor Josh Levy | Commissioner Richard S. Blattner District 4 |
| 2600 Hollywood Boulevard | 2600 Hollywood Boulevard, Room 419 |
| Hollywood, Florida 33022 | Hollywood, Florida 33022 |
| Vice Mayor Debra Case (Dist. 1) | Commissioner Kevin D. Biederman District 5 |
| 2600 Hollywood Boulevard | 2600 Hollywood Boulevard |
| Hollywood, Florida 33022 | Hollywood, Florida 33022 |
| Peter D. Hernandez District 2 | Linda Sherwood District 6 |
| 2600 Hollywood Boulevard | 2600 Hollywood Boulevard |
| Hollywood, Florida 33022 | Hollywood, Florida 33022 |

Re: City of Hollywood Failure to Fund – Actuarial Valuation (Not State Approved)

Dear Mayor, Commissioner(s) & Dr. Ishmael:

Attached hereto please find a letter dated June 13, 2018 (with supplemented back-up) from Mr. Joseph M. Edmonds, Actuary - Florida Division of Retirement. The letter was addressed to Mr. Alan Fallik, Deputy City Attorney and Mr. David Strauss, Chairman of the Board. For that reason, the Board of Trustees directed me to provide each of you a copy of this correspondence to ensure you are fully aware of the circumstances and possible consequences. In short, the letter cites that the Actuary Valuation for October 1, 2015 and October 1, 2016 was NOT state approved. As a result, the State of Florida is withholding in excess of 5 million dollars of pension funding¹.

Also attached is a correspondence dated June 20, 2018 from the Police Pension Fund Actuary Cavanaugh Macdonald. Cavanaugh Macdonald was engaged to review the foregoing and concurred that the City of Hollywood has failed to act as mandated by the State of Florida and as such the Fund has not received 5.5 million *dollars* in state pension premium tax funding. They also pointed out that the Edmonds letter also put the City of Hollywood on notice that failure to resolve this matter may put additional state revenue at risk.

¹ Plus, the loss in investment earnings.

City of Hollywood Failure to Fund – Actuarial Valuation (Not State Approved) Page Two July 9, 2018

The Board of Trustees values your position and want to make certain this matter is clear and transparent.

Should you have any questions or concerns, kindly let me know.

Respectfully,

MM

David M. Williams, *Plan Administrator* **FOR THE BOARD**

c: Board of Trustees



Bureau Local Retirement Systems Municipal Police Officers' & Firefighters' Trust Funds' Office P.O. Box 3010 Tallahassee, FL 32315-3010 Tel: 850-922-0667 | Fax: 850-921-2161 | Toll-Free: 877-738-6737

Rick Scott, Governor

Erin Rock, Secretary

RECEIVED

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD POLICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM

June 13, 2018

Mr. Alan Fallik Deputy City Attorney City of Hollywood 2600 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood, FL 33022-9045

Mr. David Strauss, Chairman Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement System 4205 Hollywood Blvd., Suite 4 Hollywood, FL 33021

Re: City of Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement Plan 10/1/2016 and 10/1/2015 Actuarial Valuations

Dear Mr. Fallik and Mr. Strauss:

This is to notify you that we have reviewed the above referenced actuarial reports for compliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S. and Chapter 60T, F.A.C. Based upon the results of our review, we have determined the Plan to be not state accepted.

Please see the attached letters dated October 2, 2015, June 18, 2015 and July 3, 2014, outlining the issues of noncompliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, Florida Statutes for the above reference Plan, The issue references the payment of a Supplemental Pension Distribution pursuant to section 33.136(N) of the Hollywood City Code. These letters provide guidance on the additional information and/or corrections required to bring the Plan into compliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S.

NOTE: Section 112.63(4), F.S., states that if a satisfactory response to the foregoing requests is not received within a reasonable period of time, the Department of Management Services may notify the Departments of Revenue and Financial Services to withhold any funds payable to the plan sponsor (not pledged for the satisfaction of bond debt service), until the additional material information or corrections have been received by this office.

Sincerely,

Noe Schmonch

Joseph M. Edmonds, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA Actuary Florida Division of Retirement

David Williams, Administrator Steve Cypen, Esq. Micki Taylor, ASA, EA

CC:



Bureau Local Retirement Systems P.O. Box 9000 Tallahassee, FL 32315-9000 Tel: 850-488-2784 | Fax: 850-921-2161 | Toll-Free: 877-738-5622

Rick Scott, Governor

Chad Poppell, Secretary

October 2, 2015

Mr. Alan Fallik Deputy City Attorney City of Hollywood 2600 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood, FL 33022-9045

Mr. David Strauss, Chairman Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement System 4205 Hollywood Blvd., Suite 4 Hollywood, FL 33021

Re: City of Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement System (Plan)

Dear Mr. Fallik and Mr. Strauss:

This letter follows up on the attached letters of July 3, 2014, and June 18, 2015, outlining the issues of noncompliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, Florida Statutes, for the above referenced Plan regarding payment of a Supplemental Pension Distribution, pursuant to section 33.136(N) of the Hollywood City Code. Since 2009, the Department of Management Services (Department) has notified the Plan on several occasions that supplemental distributions funded by using excess investment gains, despite the lack of net favorable actuarial experience accumulated from all sources of gains and losses, violate the provisions of section 112.61, F.S. These letters have provided guidance on the additional information and/or corrections required to bring the Plan into compliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S. To date, the additional information and/or corrections have not been received. Therefore, the Plan has been determined to be **not state accepted** pursuant to Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S.

In addition to the supplemental distribution that was paid out in 2013, the Plan also paid out supplemental distributions to retirees in 2014 and 2015. Based on the Plan's unfavorable net actuarial experience accumulated from all sources of gains and losses, if the actuarial accrued liability created by this benefit is not funded through additional contributions (as has been done in the past), these distributions would not comply with Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S.

As described in the July 3, 2014, letter the required funding for the 2013 supplemental distribution may be amortized and funded through additional City contributions.

Beginning with the November 21, 2013, letter from the City requesting that the Department conduct a further review of the 2013 supplemental benefit distribution, the Department began an active investigation reviewing the supplemental distribution practice for compliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S. During this review, several letters were exchanged between the City, Plan and Department.

Mr. Alan Fallik and Mr. David Strauss Page 2 October 2, 2015

During the active Departmental review, a supplemental distribution was paid out in 2014, while the Plan still was in an accumulated actuarial loss position. Further, the 2015 supplemental distribution occurred after the Department's formal guidance on July 3, 2014, which clearly explained that the benefit must be funded through additional contributions to comply with Florida law.

The Plan and City have not taken steps to address the issues raised in the July 3, 2014, letter regarding any future supplemental distributions. These steps included either amending the Plan document or establishing a pre-funding mechanism. Therefore, funding for the 2014 and 2015 supplemental distributions may not be amortized and must be deposited by the City into the fund in full, prior to a determination of state acceptance, pursuant to Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S.

The guidance provided in the July 3, 2014, letter regarding future supplemental benefit distributions remains in effect. The City must amend or rescind the Plan provision, or else begin making additional contributions to the Plan to pre-fund for future distributions. Please provide verification of the resolution of this issue, prior to a determination of state acceptance pursuant to Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S.

Note that section 185.10(2), F.S. requires, in part, that "In order for a municipality and its retirement fund to participate in the distribution of premium tax moneys under this chapter, all the provisions shall be complied with annually, including state acceptance pursuant to part VII of chapter 112."

We are now withholding the distribution of the 2014 premium tax revenues in the amount of \$1,308,509.33 pending satisfactory resolution of the issues of noncompliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, and a determination of compliance with Chapter 185, F.S.

NOTE: Section 112.63(4), F.S., provides that if a satisfactory response to this request is not received within a reasonable period of time, the Department of Management Services may notify the Departments of Revenue and Financial Services to withhold any funds payable to the plan sponsor (not pledged for the satisfaction of bond debt service), until the additional material information or corrections have been received by this office.

Sincerely,

Kutto Fut

Keith E. Brinkman, Chief Bureau of Local Retirement Systems

cc: David Williams, Administrator Steve Cypen, Esq. Jose Fernandez, Actuary Sarah Carr, Benefits Administrator, MPF Office

attachments



Bureau Local Retirement Systems P.O. Box 9000 Taliahassee, FL 32315-9000 Tel: 850-488-2784 | Fax: 850-921-2161 | Toll-Free: 877-738-5622

Chad Poppell, Secretary

Rick Scott, Governor

June 18, 2015

Mr. Alan Fallik Deputy City Attorney City of Hollywood 2600 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood, Florida 33022-9045

Re: City of Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement Plan - additional "13th check"

Dear Mr. Fallik:

I am writing to request a status update regarding the Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement Plan's additional benefit distribution. Has there been any action taken since your letter of November 26, 2014, regarding funding of the additional pension benefit that was distributed, pre-funding of the additional pension benefit for future distributions, or any amendments to the local ordinance provisions of the plan? Please refer to the attached letter dated July 3, 2014, for more explanation of the issues to be resolved. State acceptance under Part VII of Chapter 112, Florida Statutes and distribution of state funds to the City are contingent on a satisfactory response.

NOTE: Section 112.63(4), F.S., provides that if a satisfactory response to this request is not received within a reasonable period of time, the Department of Management Services may notify the Departments of Revenue and Financial Services to withhold any funds payable to the plan sponsor (not pledged for the satisfaction of bond debt service), until the additional material information or corrections have been received by this office.

Please let me know if you have any questions or if the Division can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

et Buten

Keith E. Brinkman, Chief Bureau of Local Retirement Systems

cc: David Strauss, Chairman David Williams, Administrator Steve Cypen, Esq. Jose Fernandez, Actuary Sarah Carr, Benefits Administrator, MPF Office

attachments



Bureau of Local Retirement Systems Municipal Police Officers' & Firefighters' Retirement Trust Funds' Office P.O. Box 3010 Tallahassee, Florida 32315-3010 Tel: 850.922.0667 | Fax: 850.921.2161 | Toll-Free; 877.738.6737

Rick Scott, Governor

Craig J. Nichols, Agency Secretary

July 3, 2014

Mr. Alan Fallik Deputy City Attorney City of Hollywood 2600 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood, Florida 33022-9045

Re: City of Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement Plan - additional "13th check"

Dear Mr. Fallik:

This letter responds to your correspondence of November 21, 2013, related to the additional "13th check" benefit awarded to retirees of the City of Hollywood's (the City) Police Officers' Retirement Plan (the Plan) in 2013. You have requested that the Division of Retirement (the Division) review the Plan's Board of Trustees' (the Board) decision to grant an additional distribution to Plan retirees and determine whether payment of the benefit violated section 112.61, F.S., or any other applicable statutes. Pursuant to section 112.665(1)(c), F.S., the Division has the authority to respond to local government requests involving the applicability of laws over which the Division has substantive jurisdiction. All facts as presented in your letters and the relevant authority have been considered in preparation of this response.

The Plan is a "local law plan" established and operating under the provisions of Chapter 185, F.S. (the Chapter). The Plan is required to comply with all the provisions of the Chapter annually, as well as state acceptance under Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S., to maintain eligibility to receive a distribution of insurance premium taxes collected within the city limits.

Section 33.136(N) of the City Code relates to payment of an additional pension distribution and states that such distribution "shall be payable to eligible persons ... for each fiscal year in which the actual rate of investment return earned on fund assets exceeds the assumed rate of investment return on fund assets." The Board is then required to determine eligibility and amount of the additional distribution. In 2011 the City amended this provision to exclude from eligibility all retirees after September 30, 2011.

Section 112.61, F.S., provides that an additional benefit of a local government retirement system or plan may only be funded by actuarial experience if "the present value of such benefits does not exceed the net actuarial experience accumulated from all sources of gains and losses...". Since the City and the Board have acknowledged that the Plan does not meet this requirement, any additional Plan benefits, including the cost of the 2013 additional pension distribution, may not be funded from investment gains.

For the 2013 additional distribution, if the cost is funded by additional contributions by the City to pay the unfunded liability of the Plan, as described in the Plan actuary's letter of November 18,

Mr. Alan Fallik Page 2 July 3, 2014

2009, then the Plan benefit would be allowable under s. 112.61, F.S. If the Plan's shortfall brought on by payment of this additional benefit is not paid through additional contributions, then the Plan benefit would not be allowable under s. 112.61, F.S. The consequences of violating applicable statutes and rules would be withholding of state insurance premium taxes collected under Chapter 185, F.S., and withholding of any funds not pledged for the satisfaction of bond debt service that are payable to the City, until satisfactory adjustment is made to correct the non-compliance.

Since the inception of the additional benefit distribution provision to the City's Code in 2001, the Division is aware of at least three such distributions that have been awarded to Plan retirees. Because this additional benefit provision has once again been triggered, the continued practice of post-funding this additional benefit does not comply with the requirement in s. 112.61, F.S., which prohibits the transfer of costs to future taxpayers that may reasonably have been expected to be paid by current taxpayers.

If the City wishes to limit exposure to the costs associated with this provision, the City may wish to consider amending or rescinding the Plan provision. However, if the benefit provision remains unchanged in the City Code, the Plan actuary must begin estimating the frequency and amounts of future additional distributions and begin including a cost factor to pre-fund it. The basis for this estimation and the calculation of the cost factor should be clearly identified in the actuarial valuations. Future additional benefit distributions will not be state accepted under Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S., if steps are not taken to attribute these costs to current taxpayers, instead of transferring all costs to future taxpayers.

If provisions are not made to satisfy the unfunded liability that was generated by the payment of the additional pension benefit in 2013, the Plan will not be state accepted for compliance with Part VII of Chapter 112, F.S. If the unfunded liability is to be funded through additional contributions, please provide verification of the City's commitment to fulfilling these funding requirements in full until the liability is satisfied.

Please let me know if you have any questions or if the Division can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Keith E. Brinkman, Chief Bureau of Local Retirement Systems

cc: David Strauss, Chairman David Williams, Administrator Steve Cypen, Esq. Jose Fernandez, Actuary Sarah Carr, Benefits Administrator, MPF Office



June 20, 2018

Mr. David M. Williams Administrator City of Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement System 4205 Hollywood Blvd., Suite 4 Hollywood, FL 33021

State Acceptance of Actuarial Reports

Dear Dave:

We are writing in response to the letter dated June 13, 2018 from Mr. Joseph M. Edmonds of the Florida Division of Retirement regarding state acceptance of the October 1, 2015 and the October 1, 2016 actuarial valuation reports of the City of Hollywood Police Officers' Retirement System. The actuarial valuation reports have not been State Accepted. The City decided that it would not make the required amortization payments in order to fund 2014 and 2015 13th check distributions. The City's action raised concerns with the Division of Retirement that the 13th check distributions would not be properly funded. This triggered an active investigation into the funding mechanism for the 13th check distributions. While the investigation was being conducted two 13th check distributions for 2014 and 2015 were distributed to retirees. At the conclusion of the investigation, the Division of Retirement stated that two things must be done. First, a prefunding mechanism must be established in order for the System to continue to make 13th check distributions. Second, the City must deposit the total amounts in full equal to \$1,933,537 and \$2,170,838 distributed for the 2014 and 2015 13th checks respectively. Effective October 1, 2015, a prefunding mechanism was established in regard to 13th check distributions which satisfied one portion of the Division of Retirement requirements. The second portion of the Division of Retirement's requirement has not been satisfied. Therefore, the actuarial valuation reports have not been state accepted.

As an additional consequence, the Division of Retirement has been withholding State premium tax monies of \$5.5 million respectively for 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017.

3550 Busbee Pkwy, Suite 250, Kennesaw, GA 30144 Phone (678) 388-1700 • Fax (678) 388-1730 www.CavMacConsulting.com Offices in Kennesaw, GA • Bellevue, NE



Mr. David M. Williams June 20, 2018 Page 2

The letter also states that if a satisfactory response to the request is not received within a reasonable period of time, the Department of Revenue and Financial Services may be notified to withhold any funds payable to the plan sponsor. As previously mentioned, the Chapter 185 monies are already being withheld. We interpret this to mean that additional tax revenues may be withheld by the State also.

In our opinion, no formal action is required of the Board in this matter. In order for this issue to be resolved, the City must deposit \$4.1 million into the Trust. This is advantageous to the City because the State Premium tax money in the amount of \$5.5 million would be released in order to offset the City's required contribution.

We would also like to reiterate that in our letter dated February 20, 2018, the City is contributing to the System as if the System is receiving State Premium tax money, which has created a funding deficiency. If the 13th check situation is not resolved as quickly as possible, additional funding pressures will be place on the City as the plan sponsor.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Todel B. 6-

Todd B. Green, ASA, FCA, MAAA Principal and Consulting Actuary

Mirki R. Taylor

Micki R. Taylor, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA Senior Actuary Enrolled Actuary No. 17-5975

S:\2018\Hollywood FL Police\Correspondence\Division of Retirement Response 06.20.18.docx